1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIV	VAN, LLP
2	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
3	dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097)	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com Teuta Fani (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
3	sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com	teutafani@quinnemanuel.com
4	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
5	Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000	Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 705-7400
	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
6		· ,
7	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)	Josef Ansorge (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
8	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)
	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com	tracygao@quinnemanuel.com
9	Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)	Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
10	crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com	carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com
	Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705)	1300 I Street NW, Suite 900
11	alyolson@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Washington D.C., 20005 Telephone: (202) 538-8000
12	Los Angeles, CA 90017	Facsimile: (202) 538-8100
12	Telephone: (213) 443-3000	(202) 000 000
13	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
14	Jomaire Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)
15	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com	jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com
	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	50 California Street, 22nd Floor
16	New York, NY 10010	San Francisco, CA 94111
17	Telephone: (212) 849-7000	Telephone: (415) 875-6600
18	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
10	Counsel for Defendant Google LLC	
19	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
20		
21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION
21	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK
22	JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER	GOOGLE LLC'S OPPOSITION TO
23	CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO, individually and on behalf of all similarly	PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE
	situated,	MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THEIR
24	Plaintiffs,	SANCTIONS MOTION (DKT. 583)
25	riamuris,	H C W I WOLL
26	V.	Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ
	GOOGLE LLC,	
27	Defendant.	
28	Detendant.	
- 1	I .	

17 18 19

21

22

23

20

24 25 26

27

28

Plaintiffs' request for leave to file a supplement to the sanctions motion purportedly because Google provided "material" after the April 21, 2022 hearing is baseless and should be denied. Plaintiffs do not cite a single relevant fact contained in the allegedly "belatedly produced information" that is new or absent from the already exhaustive sanctions record before the Court.

First, the supplemental interrogatory response Plaintiffs base their request upon did not include additional facts. Google merely incorporated basic information well known to Plaintiffs and the Court from the existing record for the motion for sanctions. Plaintiffs already submitted initial briefing, supplemental briefing, and cross-examined four Google witnesses regarding these very facts. They cannot credibly paint Google's formal interrogatory response as an attempt to "backfill with self-serving assertions" (Dkt. 582-4) where they have known about this information for months and have even questioned witnesses on it.

**Second**, Plaintiffs inexplicably seek to preclude Google from relying on the declaration of Dr. Harting in support of Google's Response to Plaintiffs' Objections to the Special Master's Report (Dkt. 559) regarding preservation obligations, which was submitted in an entirely different context from the sanctions briefing. The declaration mentions the "maybe chrome incognito" bit in a single paragraph to substantiate the burden associated with preserving the logs that contain the field, which Plaintiffs sought in the context of the preservation dispute. This is unrelated to the sanctions motion.

*Third*, Plaintiffs attempt to manufacture controversy by contrasting Dr. Harting's declaration with Mr. Golueke's testimony at the sanctions hearing. Id. However, there is no inconsistency. Plaintiffs' own briefing makes clear that Mr. Golueke testified he performed diligence before signing his November 18 declaration by speaking to individuals from the Analytics and Ad Manager Teams. Apr. 21, 2022 Hr'g Tr. 189:1-8. By contrast, Dr. Harting's later declaration repeats information Plaintiffs already have, including through the declaration of Bert

Leung filed with Google's response to Plaintiffs' sanctions motion on April 5, 2022; namely, that the "maybe\_chrome\_incognito" bit is stored in certain specific logs. Dkt. 527-12. The only new information in the Harting Declaration is the burden associated with preserving the logs containing the field wholesale. Clearly, this information does not undermine Mr. Golueke's testimony cited by Plaintiffs (*i.e.* that he spoke with individuals on the Analytics and Ad Manager Teams) or otherwise reflect on the extent of his diligence in any way.

Last, Plaintiffs purposefully avoided adequate notice to and conferral with Google regarding a potential stipulation that might have resolved the need for the instant motion. See Local Rule 7-11. Plaintiffs gave Google three hours to formulate a position on their request for leave. Fortenbery Decl. ¶ 3. Google requested until the end of the day to confer internally, but Plaintiffs never responded, and instead simply filed their administrative motion. Id. ¶¶ 4-5.

Had Plaintiffs provided Google a reasonable time to respond, Plaintiffs would have been aware that Google is willing to amend the supplemental interrogatory response to remove the narrative response and instead incorporate by reference the relevant sanctions briefing and testimony. This would have fully addressed Plaintiffs' concerns and also made clear nothing new is being added to the record.

In short, Plaintiffs have identified nothing to justify yet another supplemental brief in support of their motion for sanctions. Nor is the substantive relief they seek—precluding Google's reliance on the Interrogatory response and the Harting Declaration—warranted in light of their submission. Google's supplemental interrogatory response provides no more than a general description of what is already known to Plaintiffs and the Court through the sanctions briefing and hearing testimony. The declaration of Mr. Harting goes to a wholly different part of the case and only tangentially discusses the "maybe\_chrome\_incognito" bit in a single paragraph. Plaintiffs' motion should be denied.

1	1	
2 3	SUI	INN EMANUEL URQUHART & LLIVAN, LLP
	B	y <u>/s/ Andrew H. Schapiro</u>
4		Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
5		Teuta Fani (admitted pro hac vice)
6	6	teutafani@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
7	7	Chicago, IL 60606
8	8	Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
9	9	
10	10	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com
11		Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
		violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)
12	12	crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com
13	13	Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705) alyolson@quinnemanuel.com
14	14	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
15	15	Los Angeles, CA 90017
16		Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
		Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)
17		dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
18	18	Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097)
19	19	sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com
		555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065
20	20	Telephone: (650) 801-5000
21	21	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100
22	22	Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)
23	23	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)
24	24	tracygao@quinnemanuel.com
		Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com
25		1300 I. Street, N.W., Suite 900
26		Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: 202-538-8000
27	27	Facsimile: 202-538-8100
28	28	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted pro hac vice)

## 

- 11	
1	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
2	New York, NY 10010
3	Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100
4	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468) jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com
5	50 California Street, 22nd Floor
6	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
7	Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
8	Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17   18	
19	
20	
20	
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4